

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,133	12/18/2001	Alain Navelier	111487	7055	
7	590 03/19/2004		EXAMINER		
Oliff & Berrie		THANH, LOAN H			
P O Box 19928 Alexandria, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3763	5	
			DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/018,133	NAVELIER ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
		LoAn H. Thanh	3763	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication approximation of Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	•
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION INSIGN of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 10 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a seply within the statutory minimum of thind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ate, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communical  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	December 2001.		
2a)		nis action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•	• •	is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	•	• •
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prince application from the International Bure.  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachmen	• •	🗖	0.00	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date <u>2</u> .	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 3763

Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's the certified copy which has been filed in the current application. However, Applicant is requested to make clear on the record whether applicant is intending to claim foreign priority or not since the Oath/declaration does not specifically claim the foreign priority of the French patent.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 12/18/01 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because there is no translation to the document. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 ¶ C(1).

#### Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

#### Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in

Art Unit: 3763

upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Applicant is requested to place headings into the specification for standardization.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Reference numeral "3" has been disclosed as both " core" and "attached piece".

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3763

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the surfaces of revolution (2) and contacting surfaces are flat surfaces (claim 3) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. If these elements are shown in the drawing, then applicant should also reference these features with a lead line and reference numeral and disclose in the specification for clarity.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Objections

Claims 1-11 are objected to because of the following informalities: There are reference numerals in the claims which are not disclosed in the specification and further, reference numerals are not given any patentable weight and is recommended by the Examiner to be omitted in the language of the claims. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3763

Claim 1 is vague and indefinite because it is unclear whether applicant is intending to claim the device with an open-ended language or closed language. (i.e. characterizing and consists) Further, "the contacting surfaces" lacks proper antecedent basis in line 15. Further, it is unclear what is intended by the contacting surfaces being "wholly or partly lateral surfaces of the elements". Further it is unclear whether the claim is a Jepson claim and the improvement is in the elements following "characterized" or whether applicant is intending to positively claim all the elements.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Holzer ( U.S. Patent No. 5,026,343).

Holzer discloses a needless syringe comprising at least one injection nozzle at the distal end and a wall/piston/ plunger 22 expelling the active principle in the ampoule 11. Holzer discloses As best understood by the Examiner, the at least one elements are broadly interpreted as 2 pieces connected together such as the body of the syringe connected to the ampoule wherein the ampoule comprises the nozzles. Applicant's groove is shown as a pathway for the fluid wherein the pathway of Holzer is also

Art Unit: 3763

considered to be a groove. See fig. 1-2 4 and 10. Another interpretation is shown in Fig. 10 which shows injection lumens and also grooves along the edge.

The surfaces of revolution are understood as having a circular, elliptical shape which the device clearly shows. As much as applicant has shown his device to be flat, or a groove to be straight or having a constant cross section so does Holzer. The support 18 is considered to be housing into which the core 15 is fitted.

Claims 1-4, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Laurens (U.S. Patent No. 3,788,315).

Laurens discloses the a needless syringe 1 comprising of 2 pieces with a wall 17 or 15 for expelling the active principle and a groove 9. See figures 1-7.

# Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-3 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,623,446.

protection beyond the years of the 6,623,446 patent.

Art Unit: 3763

3763

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the application claims the at least one groove which is similar to the at least one injection nozzle which is created by the alignment of the plates. It appears that the claims of the application are more broad than the patent claims and the application claims are a broader recitation of the invention than that of the issued patent, including all of the same limitations. Since a broad interpretation of application includes the limitations of the patent, if a patent was to grant on the pending claims of this application applicant would be granted an unlawful extension of

Page 7

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LoAn H. Thanh whose telephone number is (703) 305-0038. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (first Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (703) 308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LoAn H. Thanh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3763

LT

LOAN H.THANH PRIMARY EXAMINER